

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/806,174	03/23/2004	Takamitsu Kawai	119212	2164		
25944	7590 07/24/2006		EXAM	INER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST			
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDRI	28 A, VA 22320	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3651			
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2000	5 ·		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/806,174	KAWAI, TAKAMITSU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leslie A. Nicholson III	3651		

		Lesile A. Micholsoff III		3031	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE RE	EPLY FILED 06 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION	FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
th pi a	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or one is application, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	wing replies: (1) an amend itice of Appeal (with appea	lment, aff al fee) in d	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-			
, –	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) V 06.07(f).	the mailing	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have beounder 37 set forth may red	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lateruce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the correspondin shortened statutory period for r than three months after the i	ig amount reply origi	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
fil a	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.	.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. 🔲 1	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filin	ng a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause
	They raise new issues that would require further co				
	$0)$ $\square$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below				
-	<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>				the issues for
(0	I) 🔲 They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of	finally rej	ected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. 🔲 1	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	<ol><li>See attached Notice of</li></ol>	of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
n-	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a pn-allowable claim(s).				
h T	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
	laim(s) objected to:				
	laim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-7,10-15,20 and 21</u> .				
	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-19.				
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
b w	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the	he affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections un	der appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the clain	ns after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			· ()	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449	) Paper N	10(s).	
			GEME	EO. ORAWFORD	
		SUP	ERVIS	PY PATENT EXAMI	NER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not amended to overcome rejections made in the FINAL Action.